FROM:
Howard L Harns
1825 22nd St
Bellingham WA 98225-7510

O2-2277

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

I im writing to you today to comment on Docker No. 02, 277. The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market—can only be served by retaining ill of the current media ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the ECC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy, the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer 'competitors,' the publics ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I strongly urge the ECC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Seattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation. I think it is important for the ECC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

Thank you,

Howard & Harres

17/4ar 03

FROM:

Margo Robb & James Carrott 932 1/2 Hiawatha Pl. S. Seattle, WA 98144-2811



62-277

TO:

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

Taldllim blobbabball

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277. The Biennial Review of the I CC's broadcast media ownership rules. I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market - can only be served by retaining all of the current media ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the ECC accurately demonstrate the negative iffects media desegulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While their may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillat of our democracy, the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If LCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer compensors," the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I strongly urge the ECC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York. Scattle, Durham, and hopefully in other cities around the nation. I think it is important for the ECC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

Thank on

margo Robb margo 2 comm. umass. edu

FROM: IZaak Mills 1765 S. Washington St. Seattle, WA 98144

Thurgood Marshall

02.277

VEN A CO

TO: Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

IVIE 14

•

Lam writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277. The Biennial Review of the ECCs broadcast media ownership rules. I believe that the Commission's traditional goals—to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market—can only be served by retaining all of the current niedia ownership rules now under review. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the ECC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is a central pillar of our democracy, the founders of the country believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If FCC policy changes to allow national media "market share" to be concentrated among still fewer competitors," the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I strongly urge the LCC to pay attention to the public comments received at public hearings in Richmond, New York, Scarrle, Durham, and hopefully in other circles around the nation. I think it is important for the ECC to consider not only the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

Thank you,